

Department of Education Office of Management and Finance

Student Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program Agreed-Upon Procedures Report for the Year Ended June 30, 2016





8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 1001 Baton Rouge, LA Tel: (225) 922-4600 | Fax: (225) 922-4611

<u>DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION</u> <u>OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE</u>

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1
Executive Summary	2 - 6
Attachments:	
Schedule A: Agreed-Upon Procedures	7 – 12
Schedule R. Findings Desulting from Procedures Performed for Each School	

Schedule B: Findings Resulting from Procedures Performed for Each School:

Site Code	School Name	Page
49035	Park Vista Elementary	13
500008	Our Lady of Fatima Catholic School	15
500010	St. Frederick High School	17
500020	St. Joseph School	19
501003	Holy Savior Menard Central High School	21
501014	St. Anthony of Padua	23
501016	St. Frances Cabrini	25
503001	Central Catholic High School	27
503003	Holy Rosary School	29
503004	Holy Savior School	31
503005	Maria Immacolata Catholic School	33
503009	St. Genevieve	35
503012	St. Joseph Catholic Elementary School	37
503013	St. Mary's Nativity School	39
504007	Holy Family Catholic School	41
504009	Immaculate Heart of Mary School	43
505006	Our Lady's School	45
505011	St. Theodore Holy Family Catholic	47
506036	Our Lady of Divine Providence	49
506041	Our Lady of Perpetual Help	51
506043	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	53
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	55
506049	Sacred Heart of Jesus	57
506057	St. Angela Merici School	59
506059	St. Anthony School	61
506094	St. Mary Magdalen	63
533001	Alfred Booker Junior Academy	65
538001	Family Worship Christian Academy	67
561001	Faith Lutheran School	69
571001	Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School	71
572001	Ridgewood Preparatory School	73

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES REPORT

Site Code	School Name	Page
579001	Family Community Christian School	75
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	77
5B2001	SIHAF K12 Learning Academy	79
616001	Lutheran High School	81
619001	University Academy of Cenla	83
641001	Alexandria Country Day School	85
656001	Old Bethel Christian Academy	87
667001	John Paul the Great Academy	89
708001	Living Word Academy	91
718001	Dreamkeepers Academy	93
719001	Evangel Christian Academy	95
727001	Boutte Christian Academy	97
760001	Victory Christian Academy	99
785001	Westminster Christian Academy	101
874001	Northeast Baptist School	103
886001	Claiborne Christian School	105
889001	Community Day School	107
905001	Quest School	109
992001	Union Christian Academy	111



A Professional Accounting Corporation
Associated Offices in Principal Cities of the United States

www.pncpa.com

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Office of Management and Finance Department of Education Baton Rouge, Louisiana

We have performed the procedures listed in the attached Schedule A, which were agreed to by the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE), solely to assist you in your oversight of schools listed in the attached Schedule A that are participants in the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program (Program) for the year ended June 30, 2016. LDE is responsible for establishing Program policy, enforcing laws and regulations of the Program, and for monitoring schools for compliance with these laws and regulations. This engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

The scope and sufficiency of our procedures is solely the responsibility of LDE. Our procedures were limited to those that you have determined will best meet your informational and regulatory needs. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in the attached Schedule A, either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The results of our procedures, by school, are described in the attached Schedule B.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accounting records or other information provided. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the LDE and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Postlethwith + Nettenille

June 10, 2016

The primary purpose of this engagement was to assist the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE/Department) in monitoring each of the specific schools listed in the accompanying report for compliance with the Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (SSEEP/Program) limits and requirements for the academic school year (AY) 2015-2016. Procedures performed were agreed to by the LDE and are included in Schedule A of this report. Fifty (50) schools were subjected to these procedures. Of the fifty (50) schools, one (1) was a public school and only procedures II, III and IV applied.

The results of our procedures for each school are presented in detail in a Schedule B. However, the following narrative provides a high level summary of the procedures performed and the resulting findings (also referred to as exceptions) across all schools.

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students Compared to Non-Scholarship Students

As more fully described in Schedule A, this set of procedures called for comparison of the tuition and fees charged to and paid by the scholarship program to that charged to and paid by, or for, non-scholarship students. For one private school, Living Word Academy, this procedure was not applicable, as the school does not have any non-scholarship students in attendance. For ten (10) out of the remaining forty-right (48) private schools tested, P&N noted exceptions when making that comparison. For purposes of this procedure, an exception is defined as an instance where the tuition and fees charged and collected from a non-scholarship student were less than the tuition and fees paid by the Program for scholarship students. Exceptions were noted at the following schools:

School Site Code	School		
Code	School		
718001	Dreamkeepers Academy		
503003	Holy Rosary School		
992001	Union Christian Academy		
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy		
505006	Our Lady's School		
719001	Evangel Christian Academy		
501016	St. Frances Cabrini School		
889001	Community Day School		
506094	St. Mary Magdalen School		
886001	Claiborne Christian School		

We would like to make the LDE aware that while P&N reports the tuition and fee differences as exceptions, we did not report questioned costs for any reported exceptions. The LDE should analyze the reported exceptions and determine the amount of questioned costs, if any, should be attributed to the school. Noted exceptions for above listed schools are included in the school's respective Schedule B.

II. Use of Funds

Verification of Educational Purpose:

This procedure called for observation of supporting documentation for a sample of costs charged to the Program and evidence of educational purpose. P&N noted the following observations for the fifty (50) schools (one (1) public and forty-nine (49) private schools) for which expenditures were tested as described in Schedule A:

- Four (4) schools account for scholarship expenditures separately through separate bank accounts or accounting systems that separately identify scholarship program expenditures: Family Worship Christian Academy (538001) Quest School (905001), St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School (505011) and Lighthouse Christian High School (571001). For those schools, P&N selected samples from those separate records and performed testing in accordance with the procedures described in Schedule A.
- For the remaining forty-six (46) schools that use an allocation methodology as means of separately accounting for scholarship expenditures, P&N obtained completed allocation spreadsheets and performed the procedures described in Schedule A.

Any sampled cost which lacked supporting documentation or which was for a non-educational purpose was identified as an exception. A summary of the questioned cost resulting from the exceptions is as follows:

School Site Code	School	Scholarship Expenditure Testing Questioned Amounts
708001	Living Word Academy	\$5,708.60
504009	Immaculate Heart of Mary School	\$1,011.60
5B2001	SIHAF K12 Learning Academy	\$678.90
500008	Our Lady of Fatima School	\$629.08
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	\$602.91
506057	St. Angela Merici School	\$589.25
501016	St. Frances Cabrini School	\$441.84
506049	Sacred Heart of Jesus School	\$428.20
889001	Community Day School	\$416.09
503003	Holy Rosary School	\$384.09
561001	Faith Lutheran School	\$351.82
785001	Westminster Christian Academy	\$280.95
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	\$217.90
727001	Boutte Christian Academy	\$202.72
506094	St. Mary Magdalen School	\$187.75
579001	Family Community Christian School	\$179.41
886001	Claiborne Christian School	\$157.49
506041	Our Lady of Perpetual Help School	\$131.72
992001	Union Christian Academy	\$112.74
719001	Evangel Christian Academy	\$97.56

School Site Code	School	Scholarship Expenditure Testin Questioned Amounts	
500010	St. Frederick High School	\$80.14	
760001	Victory Christian Academy	\$59.62	
506043	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	\$51.27	
501003	Holy Savior Menard Central High	\$47.48	
501014	St. Anthony of Padua	\$45.80	
506059	St. Anthony School	\$43.24	
49035	Park Vista Elementary	\$27.60	
503004	Holy Savior School	\$25.32	
500020	St. Joseph School	\$21.23	
538001	Family Worship Christian Academy	\$20.00	
506036	Our Lady of Divine Providence School	\$17.53	
619001	University Academy	\$17.30	
503012	St. Joseph Elementary School	\$16.46	
503005	Maria Immacolata Catholic School	\$4.47	
504007	Holy Family Catholic School	\$4.12	
503009	St. Genevieve School	\$1.38	
874001	Northeast Baptist School	\$0.46	

TOTAL QUESTIONED COST – Use of Funds \$ 13,294.04

Budget to Actual Expenditures:

This procedure called for gathering budget to actual expenditure reports of the program from each school and noting where actual expenditures as of January 31, 2016 were less than 50% of the amount budgeted. Of fifty (50) schools, twenty-three (23) schools reported less than 50% of budget expended.

Enrichment:

This procedure called for observance of the rate of change in key employee salaries from prior year to the current year. An exception was noted if the rate of change was 15% or greater. For eight (8) out of fifty (50) schools that were subjected to the procedures, P&N noted key employees whose salaries increased by 15% or greater.

III. Payment Verification

These procedures as described in Schedule A called for verifying residency and attendance for new scholarship students. For fourteen (14) out of a total of fifty (50) schools reviewed, this procedure was not applicable as the schools did not have any new incoming scholarship students this year. P&N noted that three (3) out of the thirty-six (36) remaining schools reviewed received scholarship tuition and fee payments for students that were identified as ineligible during residency and attendance verification procedures. A summary of the testing, the exceptions noted, and the tuition overpayment resulting from those exceptions is set forth in the table below.

School Site Code	School	New Scholarship Student Population – 1st Qtr.	New Scholarship Students Sample – 1st Qtr.	Number of Students with Exceptions Related to Residency and/or Attendance	Total Overpayments ¹ Based Upon Test Sample
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	10	5	3	\$3,119.50
718001	Dreamkeepers Academy	10	5	1	\$1,350.00
505011	St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic	14	5	1	\$1,252.75

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT

\$5,722.25

IV. Income Eligibility

This procedure as described in Schedule A called for verifying income eligibility for new scholarship students. For fourteen (14) out of a total of fifty (50) schools reviewed, this procedure was not applicable as the schools did not have any new incoming scholarship students in this year. P&N noted that two (2) out of the remaining thirty-six (36) schools reviewed received scholarship tuition and fee payments for students that were identified as ineligible. A summary of the testing performed, identified exceptions, and tuition overpayment resulting from those exceptions is set forth in the table below.

S	chool Site Code	School	New Scholarship Student Population - 3rd Qtr.	New Scholarship Students Sample - 3rd Qtr.	Number of Students with Exceptions Related to Income Eligibility	Total Overpayments ¹ Based Upon Test Sample
71	8001	Dreamkeepers Academy	10	5	1	\$1,350.00
58.	2001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	10	5	1	\$1,049.00

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT

\$2,399.00

V. Special Education Tuition

This procedure was not applicable for all fifty (50) schools reviewed, as no additional Scholarship Program tuition for providing special education services to students was provided to these schools.

Duplicate Overpayments (Procedure III and IV)

Multiple testing exceptions may have been noted among the testing procedures for any one selected student. To prevent double-counting of tuition overpayments that result from these exceptions, the chart below reflects adjustments for duplicate exceptions identified in procedures III and IV:

¹ Overpayments may be duplicated if the scholarship payment for a student is disallowed in more than one procedure. These duplicated overpayments are quantified and eliminated under the caption Duplicate Overpayments on the last page of this executive summary.

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION STUDENT SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

School Site Code	School	Total Overpayments Procedure III - IV	Total Overpayments Less Duplicate Exceptions
718001	Dreamkeepers Academy	\$2,700.00	\$1,350.00
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	\$4,168.50	\$3,119.50

OBJECTIVE:

The primary purpose of this engagement was to assist the LDE in determining whether the specific schools identified by the LDE are operating in accordance with various Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (Program) guidelines. The schools included in the scope of this engagement are as follows:

Site Code	School Name	
49035	Park Vista Elementary School	
500008	Our Lady of Fatima School	
500010	St. Frederick High School	
500020	St. Joseph School	
501003	Holy Savior Menard Central High School	
501014	St. Anthony of Padua School	
501016	St. Frances Cabrini School	
503001	Central Catholic School	
503003	Holy Rosary School	
503004	Holy Savior School	
503005	Maria Immacolata School	
503009	St. Genevieve School	
503012	St. Joseph Elementary School	
503013	St. Mary's Nativity	
504007	Holy Family Catholic School	
504009	Immaculate Heart of Mary School	
505006	Our Lady's School	
505011	St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School	
506036	Our Lady of Divine Providence School	
506041	Our Lady of Perpetual Help School	
506043	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	
506044	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	
506049	Sacred Heart of Jesus School	
506057	St. Angela Merici School	
506059	St. Anthony School	

Site Code School Name		
506094	St. Mary Magdalen School	
533001	Alfred Booker Jr. Academy	
538001	Family Worship Christian Academy	
561001	Faith Lutheran School	
571001	Lighthouse Christian High School	
572001	Ridgewood Preparatory School	
579001	Family Community Christian School	
582001	Gethsemane Christian Academy	
616001	Lutheran High School	
619001	University Academy (Cenla)	
641001	Alexandria Country Day School	
656001	Old Bethel Christian Academy	
667001	John Paul The Great Academy	
708001	Living Word Academy	
718001	Dreamkeepers Academy	
719001	Evangel Christian Academy	
727001	Boutte Christian Academy	
760001	Victory Christian Academy	
785001	Westminster Christian Academy	
874001	Northeast Baptist School	
886001	Claiborne Christian School	
889001	Community Day School	
905001	Quest School	
992001	Union Christian Academy	
5B2001	SIHAF K12 Learning Academy	

SCOPE/PROCEDURES:

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice)

LDE Objective:

Verify that tuition and fees received through the scholarship program do not exceed tuition and fees charged to enrolled students not participating in the program.

Specific Procedures Performed:

- ➤ We obtained a copy of the LDE's Approved Tuition and Fees form from LDE.
- After the first payment, we selected one non-scholarship student from each tuition level for a minimum sample of five students. For each selected student, we observed supporting documentation evidencing that the tuition and fees were charged and that payments have been made on behalf of the student and that the tuition and fees charged to the non-scholarship student were greater than or equal to the amount charged to the Program, as indicated by the LDE's Approved Tuition and Fees form.

Any non-scholarship student selected whose tuition and fees were less than the amount charged to the Program, or for which evidence of payment was lacking, was considered to be an exception in Schedule B of our report. However, the following reasons for the non-scholarship tuition and fees being less than scholarship tuition and fees were deemed acceptable and thus not reported as an exception: differences in tuition attributable to early assessment of graduation and field trip fees for scholarship students, early registration discount, member of the associated church discount, multiple family members' discounts, teachers' children discounts, parent participation in fundraising activities or fund raising fees, and certain others as per guidance from the LDE staff. Program costs associated with each exception were not reported as questioned costs. The LDE should analyze the reported exceptions and determine the amount of questioned costs, if any, should be attributed to the school. However, the tuition differences are quantified for information purposes.

II. Use of Funds (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice)

LDE Objective:

A. Verify that expenditures are for educational purposes.

Specific Procedures Performed:

- For schools that account for scholarship expenditures separately through a separate bank account or accounting system identifying scholarship program activity, P&N obtained the system-generated schedule of expenditures through January 31, 2016 for scholarship funds (report generated from accounting system, check register, etc.). For schools that use an allocation methodology as means of separately accounting for scholarship expenditures, P&N obtained the completed allocation spreadsheet with the expenditure allocation through January 31, 2016. P&N made no attempts to audit, verify or determine the appropriateness of the allocation. For all schools that allocated non-payroll expenditures based on general ledger categories, P&N obtained all general ledger transactions for those categories.
- From detailed information provided by the schools, P&N selected five payroll and five non-payroll transactions, as applicable, observed supporting documentation that ties back to the amounts identified as scholarship expenditures and identified those expenditures that were not for educational purposes. Although mathematical recalculations were performed, P&N made no attempts to determine the appropriateness of the allocation of costs to the Program.
- Supporting documentation evidencing educational purpose included at least one of the following: employee job description, payroll register noting employee title, school web site directory, a school's teacher roster and teacher's class schedule, invoice from vendor identifying goods or services purchased, lease or use agreements for facilities, and loan payment vouchers or promissory note for debt service. The lease agreements were often between related parties. P&N made no attempts to determine whether the terms of leases were at arms-length.

Educational purposes were defined as: Instructional Programs, Pupil Support Programs, Instructional Staff Programs, School Administration, General Administration, Business Services, Operations and Maintenance of Plant Services, Transportation, Food Services Operations, Enterprise Operations, Community Services Operations, Facility Acquisition

and Construction Services, and Debt Services. If for debt service, P&N made no attempts to verify the use of the initial proceeds of the debt.

Any expenditure selected that lacked supporting documentation and/or evidence of educational purpose was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report. Program costs associated with each exception were questioned. Such questioned costs were identified only for the period tested and for the items tested. No projections of other questioned costs that might exist were made for the entire school year or to the entire SSEEP costs population.

LDE Objective:

B. Verify that expenditures do not constitute gross irresponsibility and are not individually enriching.

Specific Procedures Performed:

- ➤ We obtained the budget to actual expenditures report as of January 31, 2016 from LDE as completed by the Schools' Management.
- ➤ We reviewed the budget to actual expenditures schedule and reported the percentage of expenditures in comparison to the budget. Any percentage less than 50 percent was identified as an exception in Schedule B.
- ➤ We reviewed the reserved budget category and noted the percent of reserved in comparison to the total scholarship budget. Where applicable, we noted any explanations for the reserved balance.
- ➤ We obtained a list of key personnel and their salaries for current (AY2015-16) and prior years (AY2014-15) from each School. Key personnel were defined by LDE as Board Members, School Administrators, Principals, and Academic Officers/Directors.
- For schools that have participated in the Scholarship Program during AY2014-15 and that have incurred scholarship payments for salaries and benefits, P&N compared the AY 2015-16 salaries to the AY2014-15 salaries and identified those with increases of 15 percent or greater. For those identified, P&N documented percentage of growth and explanations for growth as provided by the school.

III. Payment Verification (Act 2, Section 4017(B))

LDE Objective:

Verify that the payments the school has received are accurate.

Specific Procedures Performed:

We obtained Q1 Roster from LDE, specifically identifying new students and selected a sample based on the following criteria: if the new incoming student scholarship enrollment was 10 or less, examined 5 new students or all new students if less than 5; if there were more than 10, selected a random sample of 10% of the new students or a minimum of 5 students, whichever was greater.

P&N verified that each selected student was enrolled in and actively attending the school within two weeks before and after each of the first three count dates for which tuition and fees were claimed. Count dates were September 9, 2015, December 2, 2015, February 3, 2016 with the final count date on May 4, 2016. Per LDE's guidance, attendance was tested for the following time periods to account for holidays and any school closures: August 24-September 25, 2015, November 9- December 18, 2015, and January 18-February 26, 2016. An exception was noted if 10 or more unexcused absences were noted within each of those periods. Enrollment was verified through observation of class roll books and/or attendance records. Any selected student not actively attending was reported as an exception on Schedule B of the report. Program costs associated with each exception are reported as questioned costs. Such questioned costs are identified only for the period tested and for the items tested. No projections of other questioned costs that might exist were made for the entire school year or to the entire SSEEP population.

For the same sample, P&N verified that residency documentation maintained by the school agrees with information on the application data file, including parish code, as provided by LDE. Per LDE's application guidelines, one of the following was considered sufficient for residency documentation: rental lease agreement or mortgage agreement, electricity/gas bill, telephone bill, cable or internet service bill, sewage/water bill, current official letter from a Government Agency (DSS,DHH), Homestead Exemption Notice, and Property tax notice. In addition, per LDE's guidance, the following documentation was deemed acceptable in explaining identified differences in residency documentation and the application data: notarized letters related to residency address/living arrangement discrepancies (i.e. parent/guardian lives with a relative etc.), marriage license, birth certificate, or other. Any student whose residency documentation did not match the application data file or did not contain acceptable differences was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report. Program costs associated with each exception are reported as questioned costs. Such questioned costs are identified only for the period tested and for the items tested. No projections of other questioned costs that might exist were made for the entire school year or to the entire SSEEP population.

IV. Income Eligibility (Act 2, Section 4013(2))

LDE Objective:

Verify that the school did examine and maintain income eligibility documentation to support a student's qualification for the program and therefore may receive payments for tuition and fees on behalf of a student.

Specific Procedures Performed:

➤ Using the same sample as in Procedure III, P&N verified that each scholarship student met the income eligibility requirements. We reviewed the income eligibility supporting documentation to ensure that the family income is not greater than 250% of the current federal poverty guidelines. The following table, as published by the LDE in Scholarship Program 2015-2016 Application Guide, was used to determine income eligibility.

Household Size	Yearly Income	Monthly Income	Bi-Weekly Income	Weekly Income
2	\$39,325	\$3,277	\$1512	\$756
3	\$49,475	\$4,123	\$1902	\$951
4	\$59,625	\$4,969	\$2,294	\$1,147
5	\$69,775	\$5,815	\$2,684	\$1,342
6	\$79,925	\$6,660	\$3,074	\$1,537
7	\$90,075	\$7,506	\$3,464	\$1,732
8	\$100,225	\$8,352	\$3,854	\$1,927
Add this amount for each additional family member	\$10,150	\$846	\$391	\$195

- ➤ If students participated in any one of several federal assistance programs, the income requirement for the scholarship program was deemed to be met as long as the following documentation was provided: Louisiana Purchase Card, SNAP Benefits, Social Security Benefits. Per LDE's guidance, the following documentation was also deemed acceptable: Medicaid card, SNAP renewal application, and Case Summary Snapshot from Office of Family Services (OFS). Any student whose family income exceeded the table above or for whom we did not observe the required documentation was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report.
- ➤ If a student did not participate in a federal assistance program, any of the following documentation was required:
 - Federal Tax Return for the 2014 Calendar Year
 - W-2s From All Employers for the Tax Period Ending December 31, 2014
 - Unemployment Compensation Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014
 - 1099s and/or Statements From Banks or Other Institutions Showing Interest Earnings for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014
 - Alimony as Shown in Court Decree or Agreement
 - Social Security Benefits Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014
 - Pension Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014

Program costs associated with each exception are reported as questioned costs. Such questioned costs are identified only for the period and items tested and were not projected to the entire school year or to the entire population.

V. Special Education Tuition (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice, Use of Funds)

LDE Objective:

Verify the school is actually providing the services for which tuition was charged.

Specific Procedures Performed:

We obtained the list of students for which special education tuition was being paid.

- After the third payment, we verified that the participating school was providing special education services to each eligible student for which special education tuition was paid. This was verified by reviewing the log of services that identified the student receiving the services, the date services were rendered, the services rendered, and the name of the provider.
- > If the number of scholarship-eligible students identified as receiving special education services from the participating school in which they are enrolled was only one, we selected that one student as a sample item. Otherwise, we tested a minimum of two students or 10% of the special education population receiving scholarship funding, whichever was greater. We then documented any variances or observations.

School Park Vista Elementary

Site Code

49035

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

Park Vista Elementary School (the School) is a public school within the St. Landry Parish school district. The School does not directly receive the scholarship funds, as the funds are received by the school district.

Results:

Procedure was not applicable.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$27.60

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 46.12% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Park Vista Elementary	Site Code	49035
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
Per a Q1	student roster provided by LDE, there were no new	scholarship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new	scholarship students for this school.	
Results.	•		
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
-	scedure was not applicable as the school did not recessive for Educational Excellence Program.	ve special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$27.60
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayme	ents:	\$27.60

School Our Lady of Fatima School

Site Code

500008

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction and 2 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$629.08

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 56.00% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 1 key employee increased by 15% or more.

School	Our Lady of Fatima School	Site Code	500008
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 8 new scholarship students was selected for procedur	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A samj	ole of 8 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	··		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sprship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$629.08
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$629.08

School St. Frederick High School

Site Code

500010

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 5 payroll transactions and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$80.14

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 108.58% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	St. Frederick High School	Site Code	500010
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced	ures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s ship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$80.14
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	:	\$80.14

School St. Joseph School Site Code 500020

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP cost allocation spreadsheet did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$21.23

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 34.99% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	St. Joseph School	Site Code	500020
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope an	nd Selection:		
A sample	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for pro-	cedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No excep	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for pr	ocedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special 1	Education Tuition		
	cedure was not applicable as the school did not recesship for Educational Excellence Program.	ive special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$21.23
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaym	ents:	\$21.23

School Holy Savior Menard Central High School

Site Code

501003

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 4 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$47.48

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 53.59% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 2 key employees increased by 15% or more.

School	Holy Savior Menard Central High School	Site Code	501003
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope an	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure	s in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	and Selection:		
A samp	ele of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure	es in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
-	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spe ship for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$47.48
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$47.48

School St. Anthony of Padua

Site Code

501014

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP cost allocation spreadsheet did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$45.80

Exception noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 20.36% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

\$45.80

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School	St. Anthony of Padua	Site Code	501014
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ан	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for pro-	ocedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	and Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for pr	ocedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results.	:		
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not reconship for Educational Excellence Program.	eive special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures	:	\$45.80

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:

School St. Frances Cabrini School Site Code 501016

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student with an exception was noted for a total of \$160.59.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 2 payroll transactions and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$441.84

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 49.57% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	St. Frances Cabrini School	Site Code	501016
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	ares in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A sam	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced	ures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s rship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$441.84
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	::	\$441.84

School Central Catholic High School

Site Code

503001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 59.97% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Central Catholic High School	Site Code	503001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proceed	dures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce	dures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive ship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayment	ts:	\$0.00

School Holy Rosary School Site Code 503003

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship students with exceptions were noted for a total of \$4,906.25.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 4 payroll transactions and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$384.09

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 58.78% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

\$384.09

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School	Holy Rosary School	Site Code	503003
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paymo	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were	no new scholarship students for this school.	
Results:	:		
Procedu	are was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope o	and Selection:		
Per a Ç	21 student roster provided by LDE, there were	e no new scholarship students for this school.	
Results	y:		
Proced	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did r rship for Educational Excellence Program.	not receive special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Proc	edures:	\$384.09

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:

School Holy Savior School Site Code 503004

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$25.32

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52.18% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Holy Savior School	Site Code	503004
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for	or procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected f	or procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	r:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did no rship for Educational Excellence Program.	t receive special education tuition through the	
Total Over	rpayments Identified Through These Proced	ures:	\$25.32
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overp	payments:	\$25.32

School Maria Immacolata Catholic School

Site Code

503005

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$4.47

Exception noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 47.07% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Maria Immacolata Catholic School	Site Code	503005
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Ѕсоре а	nd Selection:		
A samp	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	ures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s ship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$4.47
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	:	\$4.47

School St. Genevieve School Site Code 503009

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$1.38

Exception noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 39.67% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	St. Genevieve School	Site Code	503009
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment	Verification		
Scope and	Selection:		
Per a Q1 st	udent roster provided by LDE, there were no new schola	arship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedure v	was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Income E	ligibility		
Scope and	Selection:		
Per a Q1 s	tudent roster provided by LDE, there were no new schol	arship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedure	was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special Ed	ucation Tuition		
	dure was not applicable as the school did not receive spe p for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition through the	
Total Overpa	yments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$1.38
Maximum Ov	verpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$1.38

School St. Joseph Elementary School

Site Code

503012

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$16.46

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 43.41% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	St. Joseph Elementary School	Site Code	503012
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	ires in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s ship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$16.46
			#1C 4C
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$16.46

School St. Mary's Nativity Site Code 503013

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 43.73% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	St. Mary's Nativity	Site Code	503013
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 3 new scholarship students was selected for proce	dures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 3 new scholarship students was selected for proce	edures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	•		
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not receive ship for Educational Excellence Program.	e special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaymen	its:	\$0.00

School Holy Family Catholic School

Site Code

504007

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 2 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$4.12

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 44.78% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Holy Family Catholic School	Site Code	504007
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q1	student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sc	holarship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope o	and Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new so	cholarship students for this school.	
Results	:		
Procedi	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
-	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive ship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Over	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$4.12
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayment	s:	\$4.12

School Immaculate Heart of Mary School

Site Code

504009

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$1,011.60

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 60.32% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 1 key employee increased by 15% or more.

School	Immaculate Heart of Mary School	Site Code	504009
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	and Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sch	nolarship students for this school.	
Results	:		
Procedu	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
Per a C	21 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new so	holarship students for this school.	
Results	s:		
Proced	lure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive rship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	erpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$1,011.60
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayment	s:	\$1,011.60

School Our Lady's School Site Code 505006

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student with an exception was noted for a total of \$37.50.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 31.13% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Our Lady's School	Site Code	505006
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
Per a Q1	student roster provided by LDE, there were no new	scholarship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new	w scholarship students for this school.	
Results:	:		
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not recesship for Educational Excellence Program.	ive special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaym	ents:	\$0.00

School St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School

Site Code

505011

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school accounted for expenditures separately through separate bank account or accounting system identifying scholarship program activity.

A sample of 5 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP bank account or general ledger account did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 63.96% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

SchoolSt. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic SchoolSite Code505011

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

III. Payment Verification

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

A total of 1 exception was noted.

\$1,252.75

IV. Income Eligibility

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

V. Special Education Tuition

The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.

Total Overpayments Identified Through These Procedures: \$1,252.75

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments: \$1,252.75

School Our Lady of Divine Providence School

Site Code

506036

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP cost allocation spreadsheet did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$17.53

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 50.95% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Our Lady of Divine Providence School	Site Code	506036
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure	s in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope a	and Selection:		
A samp	ele of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure	es in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spership for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition through the	
Total Over	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$17.53
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$17.53

School Our Lady of Perpetual Help School

Site Code

506041

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$131.72

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 49.51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. A reserve budget category was included on the schedule at -1.00% of total scholarship budget.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Our Lady of Perpetual Help School	Site Code	506041
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	l student roster provided by LDE, there were no new scho	plarship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sch	olarship students for this school.	
Results	:		
Proced	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
-	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s ship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$131.72
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	:	\$131.72

School Our Lady of Prompt Succor School

Site Code

506043

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$51.27

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 55.27% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	Site Code	506043
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope o	and Selection:		
A samp	ele of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
-	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specified for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Over	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$51.27
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$51.27

School Our Lady of Prompt Succor School

Site Code

506044

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 5 payroll transactions and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$602.91

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 43.37% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Our Lady of Prompt Succor School	Site Code	506044
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sch	nolarship students for this school.	
Results	,		
Procedu	are was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
Per a 🤇	21 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sc	holarship students for this school.	
Results	::		
Proced	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive rship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$602.91
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayment	s:	\$602.91

School Sacred Heart of Jesus School Site Code 506049

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP cost allocation spreadsheet did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

P&N noted 4 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$428.20

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 70.88% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Sacred Heart of Jesus School	Site Code	506049
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results.			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
A samp	ple of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	ares in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	u:		
No exc	reptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sprship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$428.20
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$428.20

School St. Angela Merici School

Site Code

506057

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$589.25

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 58.33% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 2 key employees increased by 15% or more.

School	St. Angela Merici School	Site Code	506057
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope (and Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new se	cholarship students for this school.	
Results	:		
Proced	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
Per a (21 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new s	scholarship students for this school.	
Result.	s:		
Proced	lure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	l Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receivership for Educational Excellence Program.	e special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	erpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$589.25
Maximun	n Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaymen	nts:	\$589.25

School St. Anthony School Site Code 506059

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 2 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$43.24

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 54.07% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	St. Anthony School	Site Code	506059
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope an	nd Selection:		
Per a Q1	student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sch	olarship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedur	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Income	Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q1	student roster provided by LDE, there were no new sc	holarship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	cedure was not applicable as the school did not receive ship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$43.24
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	s:	\$43.24

School St. Mary Magdalen School

Site Code

506094

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student with an exception was noted for a total of \$97.14.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP cost allocation spreadsheet did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

P&N noted 4 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$187.75

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 67.95% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 1 key employee increased by 15% or more.

School	St. Mary Magdalen School	Site Code	506094
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	student roster provided by LDE, there were no new \boldsymbol{s}	cholarship students for this school.	
Results:			
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope а	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new	scholarship students for this school.	
Results	:		
Proced	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not receiv ship for Educational Excellence Program.	e special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$187.75
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaymen	nts:	\$187.75

School Alfred Booker Junior Academy

Site Code

533001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 4 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 2 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 31.51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Procedure was not applicable since there was a turnover in key positions during the current year.

School	Alfred Booker Junior Academy	Site Code	533001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 1 new scholarship student was selected for procedur	es in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope o	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 1 new scholarship student was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s rship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	:	\$0.00

School Family Worship Christian Academy

Site Code

538001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 2 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school accounted for expenditures separately through separate bank account or accounting system identifying scholarship program activity.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$20.00

Exception noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52.25% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Family Worship Christian Academy	Site Code	538001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope с	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures	s in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A sam	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure	es in accordance with Schedule A.	
Result	c:		
No exc	reptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spership for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$20.00
Maximun	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$20.00

School Faith Lutheran School Site Code 561001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction and 2 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$351.82

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 68.05% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

\$351.82

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School	Faith Lutheran School	Site Code	561001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for	procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope a	and Selection:		
A samp	ele of 5 new scholarship students was selected for	procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not a ship for Educational Excellence Program.	receive special education tuition through the	
Total Over	rpayments Identified Through These Procedu	res:	\$351.82

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:

School Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School

Site Code

571001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school accounted for expenditures separately through separate bank account or accounting system identifying scholarship program activity.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b. 1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 46.16% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Lighthouse Christian Preparatory School	Site Code	571001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures	in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures	s in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	·		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec rship for Educational Excellence Program.	ial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$0.00

School Ridgewood Preparatory School

Site Code

572001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 37.48% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Ridgewood Preparatory School	Site Code	572001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	ares in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results.	:		
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A samp	ple of 3 new scholarship students was selected for proced	ures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	s:		
No exc	peptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s rship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	:	\$0.00

School Family Community Christian School

Site Code

579001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction and 2 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$179.41

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 48.86% of their budget according to the schedule provided. A reserve budget category was included on the schedule at 0.77% of total scholarship budget.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Family Community Christian School	Site Code	579001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedur	es in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
A samp	ele of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive speship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Over	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$179.41
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$179.41

School Gethsemane Christian Academy

Site Code

582001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 4 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 3 non-scholarship students with exceptions were noted for a total of \$212.00.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$217.90

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 57.28% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 1 key employee increased by 15% or more.

\$4,386.40

\$3,337.40

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School	Gethsemane Christian Academy	Site Code 58	2001
		Question (Overpay	
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope d	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced	dures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
A total	of 3 exceptions were noted.	\$	3,119.50
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A sam	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce	dures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Result	y:		
A total	of 1 exception was noted.	\$	51,049.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive rship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	

Total Overpayments Identified Through These Procedures:

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:

School SIHAF K12 Learning Academy

Site Code

5B2001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 2 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 4 payroll transactions and 2 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$678.90

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 42.32% of their budget according to the schedule provided. A reserve budget category was included on the schedule at 0.32% of total scholarship budget.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

\$678.90

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Site Code 5B2001 School SIHAF K12 Learning Academy Questioned Costs (Overpayments) III. Payment Verification Scope and Selection: A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Results: \$0.00 No exceptions noted. IV. Income Eligibility Scope and Selection: A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Results: \$0.00 No exceptions noted. V. Special Education Tuition The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program. **Total Overpayments Identified Through These Procedures:** \$678.90

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:

School Lutheran High School Site Code 616001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 65.54% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 1 key employee increased by 15% or more.

School	Lutheran High School	Site Code	616001		
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)		
III. Payme	III. Payment Verification				
Scope a	nd Selection:				
A sampl	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced	ures in accordance with Schedule A.			
Results:					
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00		
IV. Incom	e Eligibility				
Scope a	nd Selection:				
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce	dures in accordance with Schedule A.			
Results	:				
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00		
V. Special	Education Tuition				
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not receive ship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the			
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00		
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayment	s:	\$0.00		

School University Academy Site Code 619001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$17.30

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 48.83% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	University Academy	Site Code	619001		
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)		
III. Payme	III. Payment Verification				
Scope ar	nd Selection:				
Per a Q1	student roster provided by LDE, there were no ne	w scholarship students for this school.			
Results:					
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00		
IV. Income	e Eligibility				
Scope a	nd Selection:				
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no n	ew scholarship students for this school.			
Results.	•				
Procedu	are was not applicable.		\$0.00		
V. Special	Education Tuition				
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not re- ship for Educational Excellence Program.	beive special education tuition through the			
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedure	s:	\$17.30		
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpay	ments:	\$17.30		

School Alexandria Country Day School

Site Code

641001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 47.40% of their budget according to the schedule provided. A reserve budget category was included on the schedule at 5.00% of total scholarship budget.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Alexandria Country Day School	Site Code	641001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope d	and Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new so	cholarship students for this school.	
Results	:		
Proced	ure was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	ne Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
Per a (21 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new s	scholarship students for this school.	
Result	s:		
Proced	lure was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Specia	l Education Tuition		
	rocedure was not applicable as the school did not received arship for Educational Excellence Program.	e special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	erpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximun	on Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaymen	its:	\$0.00

School Old Bethel Christian Academy

Site Code

656001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 56.44% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Old Bethel Christian Academy Site Code	656001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification	
Ѕсоре с	and Selection:	
A samp	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Sc	chedule A.
Results	:	
No exc	eptions noted.	\$0.00
IV. Incom	ne Eligibility	
Scope	and Selection:	
A sam	ple of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with S	chedule A.
Result	s.	
No exc	ceptions noted.	\$0.00
V. Special	l Education Tuition	
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition the school for Educational Excellence Program.	hrough the
Total Ove	erpayments Identified Through These Procedures:	\$0.00
Maximun	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	\$0.00

School John Paul the Great Academy

Site Code

667001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 47.4% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	John Paul the Great Academy	Site Code	667001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paymo	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedur	es in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive speship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$0.00

School Living Word Academy Site Code

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

708001

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

P&N was unable to select a sample for this procedure, as the school does not have any non-scholarship students.

Results:

Procedure was not applicable.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 4 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 5 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$5,708.60

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 50.00% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Living Word Academy	Site Code	708001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope с	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures	in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A sam	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures	in accordance with Schedule A.	
Result	c c		
No exc	reptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive speci rship for Educational Excellence Program.	ial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$5,708.60
Maximun	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$5,708.60

School Dreamkeepers Academy Site Code 718001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship students with exceptions were noted for a total of \$6,750.00.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 3 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP cost allocation spreadsheet did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b. 1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 21.51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

The school asserted no compensation was received by their key personnel. As a result, the procedure was deemed non applicable.

\$1,350.00

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School	Dreamkeepers Academy	Site Code	718001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	ad Selection:		
A sample	e of 5 new scholarship students was selected for pro-	ocedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
A total o	f 1 exception was noted.		\$1,350.00
IV. Income	Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for pr	ocedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
A total o	of 1 exception was noted.		\$1,350.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	cedure was not applicable as the school did not recessing for Educational Excellence Program.	eive special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures	:	\$2,700.00

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:

School Evangel Christian Academy

Site Code

719001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student with an exception was noted for a total of \$50.00.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$97.56

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 54.50% of their budget according to the schedule provided. A reserve budget category was included on the schedule at 0.04% of total scholarship budget.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for 3 key employees increased by 15% or more.

School	Evangel Christian Academy	Site Code	719001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
Per a Q1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new scholarship students for this school.			
Results:			
Procedu	re was not applicable.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
Per a Q	1 student roster provided by LDE, there were no new so	cholarship students for this school.	
Results.			
Procedu	are was not applicable.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
-	cedure was not applicable as the school did not receive ship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$97.56
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayment	s:	\$97.56

School Boutte Christian Academy

Site Code

727001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 5 payroll transactions and 1 non-payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$202.72

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 50.08% of their budget according to the schedule provided. A reserve budget category was included on the schedule at 4.67% of total scholarship budget.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Boutte Christian Academy	Site Code	727001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	res in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A sam	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu	ures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	c		
No exc	reptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s rship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$202.72
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	:	\$202.72

School Victory Christian Academy

Site Code

760001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 4 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$59.62

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 59.83% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Victory Christian Academy	Site Code	760001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in	n accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A sam	ble of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in	in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special rship for Educational Excellence Program.	al education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$59.62
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$59.62

School Westminster Christian Academy

Site Code

785001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions and 3 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$280.95

Exceptions noted

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52.65% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Westminster Christian Academy	Site Code	785001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure	s in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A samj	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure	es in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	c c		
No exc	reptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spership for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$280.95
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$280.95

School Northeast Baptist School

Site Code

874001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$0.46

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 40.92% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

School	Northeast Baptist School	Site Code	874001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	nt Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.			
Results:			
No exce	ptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proceed	lures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:	:		
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	scedure was not applicable as the school did not receive ship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition through the	
Total Over	payments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$0.46
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayment	s:	\$0.46

School Claiborne Christian School Site Code 886001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student with an exception was noted for a total of \$12.50.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 1 payroll transaction that either lacked supporting documentation or was not for an educational purpose.

\$157.49

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 62.13% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

\$157.49

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

School	Claiborne Christian School	Site Code	886001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures	in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results			
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A sam	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures	s in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	··		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec rship for Educational Excellence Program.	ial education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$157.49

Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:

School Community Day School

Site Code

889001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student with an exception was noted for a total of \$75.00.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$416.09

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 57.10% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for key personnel did not increase by 15% or more.

School	Community Day School	Site Code	889001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 2 new scholarship students was selected for proce	edures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results.			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope d	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 2 new scholarship students was selected for proc	edures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receiveship for Educational Excellence Program.	e special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$416.09
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaymen	nts:	\$416.09

School Quest School Site Code 905001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school accounted for expenditures separately through separate bank account or accounting system identifying scholarship program activity.

A sample of 4 payroll transactions was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A. Note: The school's SSEEP bank account or general ledger account did not contain non-payroll costs; therefore, no such costs were selected for procedures.

Results:

No exceptions noted. \$0.00

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 63.00% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for key personnel did not increase by 15% or more.

School	Quest School	Site Code	905001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Paym	ent Verification		
Scope a	nd Selection:		
A samp	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected	ed for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results.			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Incom	e Eligibility		
Scope	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selec	ted for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	c		
No exc	reptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
	ocedure was not applicable as the school di rship for Educational Excellence Program.	d not receive special education tuition through the	
Total Ove	rpayments Identified Through These Pro	ocedures:	\$0.00
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate O	Overpayments:	\$0.00

School Union Christian Academy

Site Code

992001

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection:

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While P&N reports these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship students with exceptions were noted for a total of \$62.50.

II. Use of Funds

a) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selection:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of 5 payroll and 5 non-payroll transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

P&N noted 3 non-payroll transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for an educational purpose.

\$112.74

Exceptions noted.

b.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date as of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 44.78% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

b.2) Enrichment

Scope and Selection:

P&N reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.

Results:

Salaries for key personnel did not increase by 15% or more.

School	Union Christian Academy	Site Code	992001
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payme	ent Verification		
Scope ar	nd Selection:		
A sampl	le of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce	edures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:			
No exce	eptions noted.		\$0.00
IV. Income	e Eligibility		
Scope a	and Selection:		
A samp	ole of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proc	edures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results	:		
No exc	eptions noted.		\$0.00
V. Special	Education Tuition		
-	ocedure was not applicable as the school did not receiveship for Educational Excellence Program.	e special education tuition through the	
Total Over	rpayments Identified Through These Procedures:		\$112.74
Maximum	Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpaymen	nts:	\$112.74

Department of Education Office of Management and Finance

Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program Agreed-Upon Procedures Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2016



Table of Contents	June 30, 2016
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING	
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
SCHEDULE A: Agreed-Upon Procedures	8
SCHEDULE B: Findings Resulting from Procedures for each school	
ANGLES ACADEMY	15
ANNUNCIATION SCHOOL	17
ASCENSION CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	19
ASCENSION DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	21
ASCENSION OF OUR LORD SCHOOL	23
BISHOP MCMANUS ACADEMY	25
CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL OF POINTE COUPEE	27
CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL OF POINTE COUPEE	29
CEDARWOOD SCHOOL	31
ECOLE BILINGUE DE LA NOUVELLE – ORLEANS	33
GARDERE COMMUNITY CHRISTIAN	35
GOOD SHEPHERD NATIVITY MISSION	37
GREATER BATON ROUGE HOPE ACADEMY	39
GREATER MT. OLIVE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	41
HOLY FAMILY SCHOOL	43
HOLY GHOST SCHOOL - HAMMOND	45
HOLY ROSARY ACADEMY	47
HOLY ROSARY HIGH SCHOOL	49
HOSANNA CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	51
JEHOVAH-JIREH CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	53
KIDZ VIEW LEARNING ACADEMY	55
LIFE OF CHRIST CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	57
LIGHT CITY CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	59
LOUISIANA NEW SCHOOL ACADEMY	61
MATER DELOROSA SCHOOL	63
MCMILLIAN'S FIRST STEPS	65
MOST BLESSED SACRAMENT	67
NEW ORLEANS ADVENTIST ACADEMY	69

Table of Contents, continued	June 30, 2016
NORTHLAKE CHRISTIAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	71
NORTHLAKE CHRISTIAN HIGH SCHOOL	73
OUR LADY OF MERCY SCHOOL	75
REDEMPTORIST DIOCESAN REGIONAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	77
RESURRECTION OF OUR LORD SCHOOL	79
RIVERDALE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	81
RIVERSIDE ACADEMY	83
ST. ALPHONSUS – BATON ROUGE	85
ST. ALPHONSUS SCHOOL –NEW ORLEANS	87
ST. ANDREW THE APOSTLE SCHOOL	89
ST. AUGUSTINE HIGH SCHOOL	91
ST. AUGUSTINE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL	93
ST. BENEDICT THE MOOR SCHOOL	95
ST. CHARLES CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL	97
ST. DOMINIC SCHOOL	99
ST. ELIZABETH SCHOOL	101
ST. FRANCIS XAVIER SCHOOL	103
ST. JEAN VIANNEY SCHOOL	105
ST. JOAN OF ARC SCHOOL -LAPLACE	107
ST. JOAN OF ARC SCHOOL – NEW ORLEANS	109
ST. JOHN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	111
ST. JOHN HIGH SCHOOL	113
ST. JOHN LUTHERAN SCHOOL	115
ST. JOHN PRIMARY SCHOOL	117
ST. JOSEPH SCHOOL	119
ST. KATHERINE DREXEL SCHOOL	121
ST. LEO THE GREAT SCHOOL	123
ST. LOUIS KING OF FRANCE SCHOOL	125
ST. MARY'S ACADEMY	127
ST. MARGARET MARY'S SCHOOL	129
ST. MICHAEL THE ARCHANGEL DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	131
ST. PAUL LUTHERAN SCHOOL	133
ST. PETER CHANEL INTERPAROCHIAL SCHOOL	135
ST. PETER CLAVER SCHOOL	137
ST PETER SCHOOL – RESERVE	139

Table of Contents, continued	June 30, 2016	
ST. RITA SCHOOL	141	
ST. STEPHEN SCHOOL	143	
ST. THOMAS AQUINAS DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	145	
ST. THOMAS MORE SCHOOL	147	
THE DUNHAM SCHOOL	149	
TRINITY CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	151	
WALDORF SCHOOL OF NEW ORLEANS	153	



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Division of Education Finance State of Louisiana, Department of Education

We have performed the procedures listed in the attached Schedule A, which were agreed to by the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE), solely to assist you in monitoring each of the specific schools listed, in the attached Schedule A, for compliance with the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program (Program) laws and regulations for the year ended June 30, 2016. LDE is responsible for establishing procedures and enforcing laws and regulations as specifically required by *Title 28, Education, Part CLIII, Bulletin 133-Scholarship Programs* (BESE Policy). LDE is also responsible for providing guidance for compliance with these laws and regulations to the participating schools. This engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

The scope and sufficiency of our procedures is solely the responsibility of LDE. Our procedures were limited to those that you have determined will best meet your informational and regulatory needs and may not necessarily disclose all significant errors, frauds, noncompliance and other illegal acts that may exist. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in the attached Schedule A, either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The results of our procedures, by school, are described in the attached Schedule B.

We are not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion, on compliance with the "Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program". Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the State of Louisiana, Department of Education Division of Education Finance, and the Louisiana Legislature, and is not intended to be and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

PROVOST, SALTER, HARPER & ALFORD, LLC

Towast, Galter, Hayser & Orf-I, UC June 29, 2016

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Executive Summary June 30, 2016

The primary purpose of this engagement was to assist the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE) in monitoring each of the specific schools listed in the accompanying report for compliance with the Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (Program) limits and requirements for the academic school year 2015-2016. Procedures performed were agreed to by the LDE and are included in Schedule A of the agreed-upon procedures report. Sixty-eight (68) schools were subject to these procedures. Two (2) schools, although part of our contract, did not have students participating in the program or receive scholarship funds in 2015-2016.

The results of our procedures are presented in detail in a Schedule B. However, the following narrative provides a high level summary of the procedures performed and the resulting findings (also referred to as exceptions):

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students Compared to Non-scholarship Students

As more fully described in Schedule A, this set of procedures called for comparison of the tuition and fees charged to and paid by the scholarship program to that charged to and paid by, or for, non-scholarship students. For four (4) out of sixty-eight (68) schools tested, Provost, Salter, Harper & Alford, LLC (PSHA) noted exceptions when making that comparison. For purposes of this procedure, an exception is defined as an instance where the tuition and fees charged and collected from a non-scholarship student were less than the tuition paid by the Program for scholarship students. Exceptions were noted at the following schools:

School Site Code	School
674001	Angles Academy
702001	Hosanna Christian Academy
502046	St. John Primary
502036	St. Michael the Archangel Diocesan Regional High School

We would like to make the LDE aware that while PSHA reports the tuition and fee differences as exceptions, we did not report questioned costs for any reported exceptions. The LDE should analyze the reported exceptions and determine the amount of questioned costs, if any, that should be attributed to the school. Noted exceptions for above listed schools are included in the school's respective Schedule B.

Executive Summary, continued

June 30, 2016

II. Use of Funds

Verification of Educational Purpose:

This procedure called for observation of supporting documentation for costs charged to the Program and evidence of educational purpose. PSHA noted the following observations for the sixty-eight (68) schools for which expenditures were tested as described in Schedule A:

Seven (7) schools accounted for scholarship expenditures separately through separate bank accounts or accounting systems identifying scholarship program activity: Bishop McManus School (872001), Good Shepherd Nativity Mission School (506157), Greater Mount Olive Christian Academy (584001), Most Blessed Sacrament School (502008), St. Benedict the Moor School (506159), St. John Lutheran School (626001), and Trinity Christian School (990001). For these schools, PSHA selected samples and performed testing in accordance with the procedures described in Schedule A.

For the remaining sixty-one (61) schools that use an allocation methodology as means of separately accounting for scholarship expenditures, PSHA obtained allocations of general operating cost to the program and performed the procedures described in Schedule A.

Any sampled cost which lacked supporting documentation or which was for a non-educational purpose was identified as a finding. A summary of the questioned cost resulting from the findings is as follows:

Executive Summary, continued

June 30, 2016

School Site		Scholarship Expenditure Testing Questioned
Code	School	Amounts
729001	Gardere Community Christian School	\$ 306.83
502005	Holy Family School	\$ 259.26
627001	St. Paul Lutheran School	\$ 211.76
506055	St. Alphonsus School (New Orleans)	\$ 207.63
506014	Holy Rosary Academy	\$ 132.13
898001	Louisiana New School Academy	\$ 64.21
506007	Ascension of Our Lord School	\$ 22.33
506071	St. Dominic School	\$ 20.00
735002	Northlake Christian Elementary School	\$ 19.36
506080	St. Joan of Arc School	\$ 10.27
506066	St. Charles Catholic High School	\$ 5.37
872001	Bishop McManus School	\$ 4.09
502033	Catholic Elementary of Pointe Coupee	\$ 3.50
702001	Hosanna Christian Academy	\$ 1.87

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT \$ 1,268.61

Budget to Actual Expenditures:

This procedure called for gathering budget to actual expenditure reports of the program from each school and noting where actual expenditures as of January 31, 2016 were less than 50% of the amount budgeted. Of sixty-eight (68) schools, twenty-three (23) schools reported less than 50% of budgeted expended.

Enrichment:

This procedure called for review of rate change in key employee salaries from prior to the current year. An exception was noted if the rate of change was 15% or greater. For sixty-one (61) out of sixty-eight (68) schools that were reviewed, there were no exceptions noted. Seven (7) out of sixty-eighty (68) schools had at least on key personnel's salary increase by greater than 15%.

Executive Summary, continued

June 30, 2016

III. Payment Verification

These procedures as described in Schedule A called for verifying residency and attendance for scholarship students. For twenty (20) out of a total of sixty-eight (68) schools reviewed, this procedure was not applicable as the schools did not have any new incoming scholarship students this year. PSHA noted that one (1) out of forty-eight (48) remaining schools reviewed received scholarship tuition and fee payments for students that were identified as ineligible during residency and attendance verification procedures. A summary of the testing, the exceptions noted, and the tuition overpayment resulting from those exceptions is set forth in the table below.

School Site Code	School	New Scholarship Students Sample	Number of Students with Exceptions Related to Residency and/or Attendance	Total Overpayments ¹ Based Upon Test Sample
872001	Bishop McManus School	10	1	\$ 1,472.50

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT \$ 1,472.50

Overpayments may be duplicated if the scholarship payment for a student is disallowed in more than one procedure. These duplicated overpayments, if applicable, are quantified and eliminated under the caption Duplicate Overpayments on the last page of this executive summary.

Executive Summary, continued

June 30, 2016

IV. Income Eligibility

This procedure as described in Schedule A called for verifying income eligibility for scholarship students. For twenty (20) out of a total of sixty-eight (68) schools reviewed, this procedure was not applicable as the schools did not have any new incoming scholarship students this year. PSHA noted that one (1) out of forty-eight (48) remaining schools reviewed received scholarship tuition and fee payments for students that were identified as ineligible. A summary of the testing performed, identified exceptions, and tuition overpayment resulting from those exceptions is set forth in the table below.

School Site Code	School	New Scholarship Students Sample	Number of Students with Exceptions Related to Income Eligibility	Total Overpayments ¹ Based Upon Test Sample
502006	Holy Ghost School (Hammond)	5	1	\$ 3,915.00

TOTAL OVERPAYMENT \$ 3,915.00

V. Special Education Tuition

Three (3) of the sixty-eight (68) schools reviewed received additional Scholarship Program tuition for providing special education services to students. No exceptions were reported.

¹Overpayments may be duplicated if the scholarship payment for a student is disallowed in more than one procedure. These duplicated overpayments, if applicable, are quantified and eliminated under the caption Duplicate Overpayments on the last page of this executive summary.

Objective:

The primary purpose of this engagement was to assist the LDE in determining whether the specific schools identified by the LDE are operating in accordance with various Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (Program) guidelines. The schools included in the scope of this engagement are as follows:

Site Code	School Name
674001	Angles Academy
506002	Annunciation School
933002	Ascension Christian School
502001	Ascension Diocesan Regional School
506007	Ascension of Our Lord School
872001	Bishop McManus School
502033	Catholic Elementary of Pointe Coupee
502003	Catholic High of Pointe Coupee
861001	Cedarwood School
994001	Ecole Bilingue de la Nouvelle-Orleans
729001	Gardere Community Christian School
506157	Good Shepherd Nativity Mission School
705001	Greater Baton Rouge Hope Academy
584001	Greater Mount Olive Christian Academy
502005	Holy Family School
502006	Holy Ghost School (Hammond)
506014	Holy Rosary Academy
506161	Holy Rosary High School
702001	Hosanna Christian Academy
722001	Jehovah Jireh
519001	Kidz View Learning Academy
898001	Louisiana New School Academy
927001	Life of Christ Christian Academy
989001	Light City Christian Academy
502007	Mater Dolorosa School
621001	McMillian's First Steps CCDC
502008	Most Blessed Sacrament School
897001	New Orleans Adventist Academy
735002	Northlake Christian Elementary School
735001	Northlake Christian High School

Site Code	School Name
506055	St. Alphonsus School (New Orleans)
502016 -	St. Alphonsus Catholic School (Baton Rouge)
506056	St. Andrew the Apostle School
506061	St. Augustine High School
506129	St. Augustine Junior High School
506159	St. Benedict the Moor School
506066	St. Charles Catholic High School
506071	St. Dominic School
502018	St. Elizabeth School
502019	St. Francis Xavier Catholic School
502040	St. Jean Vianney School
506079	St. Joan of Arc School (Cambronne St.)
506080	St. Joan of Arc School
502023	St. John Elementary School
502024	St. John High School
626001	St. John Lutheran School
502046	St. John Primary
502025	St. Joseph Catholic School
506122	St.Katharine Drexel Preparatory School
506087	St. Leo the Great School
502031	St. Louis King of France School
506091	St. Margaret Mary School
506095	St. Mary's Academy (Girls)
502036	St. Michael the Archangel Diocesan Regional High School
627001	St. Paul Lutheran School
502004	St. Peter Chanel Interparochial School
506105	St. Peter Claver School
506104	St. Peter School (Reserve)
506111	St. Rita School (Fountainbleau)
506116	St. Stephen School

Site Code	School Name
502009	Our Lady of Mercy School
502021	Redemptorist Elementary
506048	Resurrection of Our Lord School
988001	Riverdale Christian Academy
652001	Riverside Academy

Site Code	School Name
502039	St. Thomas Aquinas Diocesan Regional High School
502030	St. Thomas More School
692003	The Dunham School
990001	Trinity Christian School
5A7001	Waldorf School of New Orleans

Scope/Procedures:

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial-Practice)

Verify that tuition and fees received through the scholarship program do not exceed tuition and fees charged to enrolled students not participating in the program.

- » We obtained a copy of the LDE's Approved Tuition and Fees form from LDE.
- » After the first payment, we selected one non-scholarship student from each tuition level for a minimum sample of five students. For each selected student, we observed supporting documentation evidencing that the tuition and fees were charged and that payments have been made on behalf of the student and that the tuition and fees charged to the non-scholarship student were greater than or equal to the amount charged to the Program, as indicated by the LDE's Approved Tuition and Fees form.
- Any non-scholarship student selected whose tuition and fees were less than the amount charged to the Program, or for which evidence of payment was lacking, was considered to be an exception in Schedule B of our report. However, reasons for the non-scholarship tuition and fees being less than scholarship tuition and fees were deemed acceptable in certain situations, as directed by the LDE, and thus not reported as an exception: differences in tuition attributable to early assessment of graduation and field trip fees for scholarship students, early registration discount, member of the associated church discount, multiple family members' discounts, teachers' children discounts, parent participation in fundraising activities or fundraising fees, and certain others as per guidance from the LDE staff. Program costs associated with each exception were not reported as questioned costs. The LDE should analyze the reported exceptions and determine the amount of questioned costs, if any, should be attributed to the school. However, the tuition differences are quantified for information purposes.

II. Use of Funds (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice)

- A. Verify that expenditures are for educational purposes. Specific Procedures to Perform Include:
 - » For schools that account for scholarship expenditures separately through a separate bank account or accounting system identifying scholarship program activity, PSHA obtained the system-generated schedule of expenditures through January 31, 2016 for scholarship funds (report generated from accounting system, check register, etc.). For schools that use an allocation methodology as means of separately accounting for scholarship expenditures, PSHA obtained the completed allocation spreadsheet with the expenditure allocation through January 31, 2016 or, in some instances, annual payroll_allocation of expenditures to the scholarship program. PSHA made no attempts to audit, verify or determine the appropriateness of the allocation. For all schools that allocated non-payroll expenditures based on general ledger categories, PSHA obtained all general ledger transactions for those categories.
 - » From detailed information provided by the schools, PSHA selected five payroll and five non-payroll transactions, as applicable, observed supporting documentation that ties back to the amounts identified as scholarship expenditures and identified those expenditures that were not for educational purposes. PSHA made no attempts to reconcile noted differences between the expenditure transactions identified per above and those reported on the budget to actual reports submitted to the LDE. Although mathematical recalculations were performed, PSHA made no attempts to determine the appropriateness of the allocation of costs to the Program.
 - Supporting documentation evidencing educational purpose included at least one of the following: employee job description, payroll register noting employee title, school web site directory, a school's teacher roster and teacher's class schedule, invoice from vendor identifying goods or services purchased, lease or use agreements for facilities, and loan payment vouchers or promissory note for debt service and other documentation as determined appropriate by LDE staff. The lease agreements were often between related parties. PSHA made no attempts to determine whether the terms of leases were at armslength.

Educational purposes were defined as: Instructional Programs, Pupil Support Programs, Instructional Staff Programs, School Administration, General Administration, Business Services, Operations and Maintenance of Plant Services, Transportation, Food Services Operations, Enterprise Operations, Community Services Operations, Facility Acquisition and Construction Services, and Debt Services. If for debt service, PSHA made no attempts to verify the use of the initial proceeds of the debt.

Any expenditure selected that lacked supporting documentation and/or evidence of educational purpose was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report. Program costs associated with each exception were questioned. Such questioned costs were identified only for the period tested and for the items tested. No projections of other questioned costs that might exist were made for the entire school year or to the entire SSEEP costs population.

- B. Verify that expenditures do not constitute gross irresponsibility and are not individually enriching.
 - We obtained the budget to actual expenditures report as of January 31, 2016 from LDE as completed by the Schools' Management.
 - We reviewed the budget to actual expenditures schedule and reported the percentage of expenditures in comparison to the budget. Any percentage less than 50 percent was identified as an exception in Schedule B.
 - We reviewed the reserved budget category and noted the percent of reserved in comparison to the total scholarship budget. Where applicable, we noted any explanations for the reserved balance.
 - We obtained a list of key personnel and their salaries for current (AY2015-16) and prior years (AY2014-15) from each School. Key personnel were defined by LDE as Board Members, School Administrators, Principals, and Academic Officers/Directors.
 - » For schools that have participated in the Scholarship Program during AY2014-15 and that have incurred scholarship payments for salaries and benefits, PSHA compared the AY2015-16 salaries to the AY2014-15 salaries and identified those with increases of 15 percent or greater. For those identified, PSHA documented percentage of growth and explanations for growth as provided by the school.

III. Payment Verification (Act 2, Section 4017(B))

Verify that the payments the school has received are accurate.

We obtained Q1 Roster from LDE, specifically identifying new students and selected a sample based on the following criteria: if the new incoming student scholarship enrollment was 10 or less, examined 5 new students or all new students if less than 5; if there were more than 10, selected a random sample of 10% of the new students or a minimum of 5 students, whichever was greater.

PSHA verified that each selected student was enrolled in and actively attending the school within two weeks before and after each of the first three count dates for which tuition and fees were claimed. Count dates were September 9, 2015, December 2, 2015, February 3, 2016 with the final count date on May 4, 2016. Per LDE's guidance, attendance was tested for the following time periods to account for holidays and any school closures: August 24-September 25, 2015, November 9-December 18, 2015, and January 18-February 26, 2016. Active attendance was defined as no more than 10 absences within each of those periods. Enrollment was verified through observation of class roll books and/or attendance records. Any selected student not actively attending was reported as an exception on Schedule B of the report. Program costs associated with each exception are reported as questioned costs. Such questioned costs are identified only for the period tested and for the items tested. No projections of other questioned costs that might exist were made for the entire school year or to the entire SSEEP population.

For the same sample, PSHA verified that residency documentation maintained by the school agrees with information on the application data file, including parish code, as provided by LDE. Per LDE's application guidelines, one of the following was considered sufficient for residency documentation: rental lease agreement or mortgage agreement, electricity/gas bill, telephone bill, cable or internet service bill, sewage/water bill, current official letter from a Government Agency (DSS, DHH), Homestead Exemption Notice, and Property tax notice. In addition, per LDE's guidance, the following documentation was deemed acceptable in explaining identified differences in residency documentation and the application data: notarized letters related to residency address/living arrangement discrepancies (i.e. parent/guardian lives with a relative etc.), marriage license, birth certificate, or other. Any student whose residency documentation did not match the application data file or did not contain acceptable differences was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report. Program costs associated with each exception are reported as questioned costs.

IV. Income Eligibility (Act 2, Section 4013(2))

Verify that the school did examine and maintain income eligibility documentation to support a student's qualification for the program and therefore may receive payments for tuition and fees on behalf of a student.

We reviewed the income eligibility requirements. We reviewed the income eligibility supporting documentation to ensure that the family income is not greater than 250% of the current federal poverty guidelines. The following table, as published by the LDE in Scholarship Program 2015-2016 Application Guide, was used to determine income eligibility.

Scholars	hip Elig	bility - 25	1% of (Junieni Reder	al Pove	my Guidelines		
Persons in Family/Household	Yearly	Income	Mon	thly Income	Bi-W	eekly Income	We	ekly Income
2	\$	39,325	\$	3,277	\$	1,513	\$	756
3	\$	49,475	\$	4,123	\$	1,903	\$	951
4	\$	59,625	\$	4,969	\$	2,293	\$	1,147
5	\$	69,775	\$	5,815	\$	2,684	\$	1,342
6	\$	79,925	\$	6,660	\$	3,074	\$	1,537
7	\$	90,075	\$	7,506	\$	3,464	\$	1,732
8	\$	100,225	\$	8,352	\$	3,855	\$	1,927
Add this amount for each additional person	\$	10,150	\$	846	\$	390	\$	195

- » If students participated in any one of several federal assistance programs, the income requirement for the scholarship program was deemed to be met as long as the following documentation was provided: Louisiana Purchase Card, SNAP Benefits, Social Security Benefits. Per LDE's guidance, the following documentation was also deemed acceptable: Medicaid card, SNAP renewal application, and Case Summary Snapshot from Office of Family Services (OFS). Any student whose family income exceeded the table above or for whom we did not observe the required documentation was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report.
- » If a student did not participate in a federal assistance program, any of the following documentation was required:
 - Federal Tax Return for the 2014 Calendar Year
 - W-2s From All Employers for the Tax Period Ending December 31, 2014
 - Unemployment Compensation Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014
 - 1099s and/or Statements From Banks or Other Institutions Showing Interest Earnings for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014

- Alimony as Shown in Court Decree or Agreement
- Social Security Benefits Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014
- Pension Statement for the Period Ending on December 31, 2014

Program costs associated with each exception are reported as questioned costs.

V. Special Education Tuition (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice, Use of Funds)

Verify the school is actually providing the services for which tuition was charged.

- » We obtained the list of students for which special education tuition was being paid.
- » After the third payment, we verified that the participating school was providing special education services to each eligible student for which special education tuition was paid. This was verified by reviewing the log of services that identified the student receiving the services, the date services were rendered, the services rendered, and the name of the provider.
- » If the number of scholarship-eligible students identified as receiving special education services from the participating school in which they are enrolled was only one, we selected that one student as a sample item. Otherwise, we tested a minimum of two students or 10% of the special education population receiving scholarship funding, whichever was greater. We then documented any variances or observations.

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ANGLES ACADEMY

Site Code:

674001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 5 non-scholarship students with exceptions noted for a total of \$187.50.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget -- Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 49% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ANGLES ACADEMY	Site Code:	674001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries 15% or greater.	have increased by	
Results:		
Exception noted. Salaries for at least one key employee increased by 1 more.	5% or	th.
		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	a accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ANNUNCIATION SCHOOL

Site Code:

506002 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget -- Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for any unusual or unexpected expenses.

No exceptions noted.

\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ANNUNCIATION SCHOOL	Site Code:	506002 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sal 15% or greater.	laries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ires in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ares in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spetuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.		\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ASCENSION CHRISTIAN SCHOOL

Site Code:

933002 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

 $B.1)\ Budget-Actual\ Expenditures$

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 57% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ASCENSION CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Site Code:	933002 Questioned Costs
		(Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries or greater.	alaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		
		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any r for the year.	new scholarship students	\$ -
		~
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any r for the year.	new scholarship students	
		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ASCENSION DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

502001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 32% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

\$

\$

\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ASCENSION DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	50200 Questioned Cos (Overpaymen	sts
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpaymen	ıs)
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salar 15% or greater.	ries have increased by		
Results:			
Exception noted. Salaries for at least one key employee increased bemore.	oy 15% or		
		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	s in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		_	
		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	s in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.			
		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specification that the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition	\$	_=
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	_

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ASCENSION OF OUR LORD SCHOOL

Site Code:

506007 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

\$ 22.33

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for computers and software.

No exceptions noted.

\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ASCENSION OF OUR LORD SCHOOL	Site Code:	50600' Questioned Cos (Overpayment	ts
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payment	LS)
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries or greater.	aries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	res in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	res in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.		ď.	
		\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$ 22.3	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$ 22.3	<u>3</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

BISHOP MCMANUS ACADEMY

Site Code:

872001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

4.09

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for any unusual or unexpected expenses and to accommodate the expenses for upcoming school year in the summer months.

No exceptions noted.

\$

BISHOP MCMANUS ACADEMY	Site Code:		872001
		-	ioned Costs rpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(0,0	z paymones)
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether t 15% or greater.	he salaries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		Ф	
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		\$	-
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 10 new scholarship students was selected for pr Schedule A.	ocedures in accordance with		
Results:			·
A total of 1 exceptions noted.		\$	1,472.50
IV. Income Eligibility			ŕ
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 10 new scholarship students was selected for pr Schedule A.	ocedures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		Ф	
V. Special Education Tuition		\$	-
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not rece through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	sive special education tuition	\$	-
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	1,476.59
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	3:	\$	1,476.59

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL OF POINTE COUPEE

Site Code:

502033 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

3.50

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

CATHOLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL OF POINTE COUPEE Site Code:	502033 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment	(overpuj menus)
Scope and Selection:	
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)	
Scope and Selection:	
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility	
Scope and Selection:	
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition	
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:	<u>\$ 3.50</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	\$ 3.50

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL OF POINTE COUPEE

Site Code:

502003 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 67% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL OF POINTE COUPEE Site Code	: 502003 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment	(overpuly monus)
Scope and Selection:	
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased b 15% or greater.	у
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)	
Scope and Selection:	
A sample of 1 new scholarship student was selected for procedures in accordance wit Schedule A.	h
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	rh.
IV. Income Eligibility	\$ -
Scope and Selection:	
A sample of 1 new scholarship student was selected for procedures in accordance wit Schedule A.	h
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition	
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	s <u>-</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:	\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

CEDARWOOD SCHOOL

Site Code:

861001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

CEDARWOOD SCHOOL	Site Code:	861001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether t increased by 15% or greater.	the salaries have	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any students for the year.	new scholarship	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any students for the year.	new scholarship	\$ -
		5 -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive stuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program	*	<u> </u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ECOLE BILINGUE DE LA NOUVELLE-ORLEANS

Site Code:

994001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 72% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

ECOLE BILINGUE DE LA NOUVELLE-ORLEANS	Site Code:	994001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sala 15% or greater.	ries have increased by	
Results:		
Exception noted. Salaries for at least one key employee increased more.	by 15% or	
more.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	w scholarship students	
		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	w scholarship students	
		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spethrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:	,	<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

GARDERE COMMUNITY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL

Site Code:

729001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

\$ 306.83

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 62% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

GARDERE COMMUNITY CHRISTIAN SCHOOL	Site Code:		729001 oned Costs rpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(016)	раушенея
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salari 15% or greater.	es have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	\$	_
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	306.83
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	306.83

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

GOOD SHEPHERD NATIVITY MISSION

Site Code:

506157 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 1 non-scholarship student was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 53% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

GOOD SHEPHERD NATIVITY MISSION	Site Code:	506157 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries had 15% or greater.	eve increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a Schedule A.	accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		φ -
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a Schedule A.	accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		
		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special enthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ducation tuition	<u> </u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$ -

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

GREATER BATON ROUGE HOPE ACADEMY

Site Code:

705001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for any unusual or unexpected expenses.

No exceptions noted.

GREATER BATON ROUGE HOPE ACADEMY	Site Code:	705001 Questioned Cost
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries 15% or greater.	es have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$
IV. Income Eligibility		Ψ
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$
V. Special Education Tuition		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 special education students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	n accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$.

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

GREATER MT. OLIVE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY

Site Code:

584001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 2 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for any unusual or unexpected expenses.

No exceptions noted.

GREATER MT. OLIVE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	Site Code:	584001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have 15% or greater.	ve increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in ac Schedule A.	ccordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in ac Schedule A.	ccordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special ed through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	lucation tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

HOLY FAMILY SCHOOL

Site Code:

502005

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

259.26

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 41% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

\$

HOLY FAMILY SCHOOL S	Site Code:	Questione (Overpa	
B.2) Enrichment		` 1	,
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have included or greater.	creased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accord Schedule A.	dance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accord Schedule A.	dance with		
Results:	•		
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special educat through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ion tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	259.26
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>	259.26

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

HOLY GHOST SCHOOL - HAMMOND

Site Code:

502006 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 47% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

\$

HOLY GHOST SCHOOL - HAMMOND	Site Code:	-	502006 oned Costs rpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(0,10)	i puj mentaj
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have 15% or greater.	e increased by		
Results:			
Exception noted. Salaries for at least one key employee increased by 15% or n	nore.	\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in acc Schedule A.	cordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accesschedule A.	cordance with		
Results:			
A total of 1 exception was noted.		\$	3,915.00
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special eduthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ucation tuition	<u>\$</u>	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	3,915.00
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	3,915.00

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

HOLY ROSARY ACADEMY

Site Code:

506014 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

132,13

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 85% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

HOLY ROSARY ACADEMY	Site Code:	Questioned (Overpay	
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpu)	menes
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sala 15% or greater.	ries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	es in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	es in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spethrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	132.13
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	132,13

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

HOLY ROSARY HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

506161 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

 $B.1)\,Budget-Actual\,Expenditures$

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 92% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

HOLY ROSARY HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	Questione (Overpay	
B.2) Enrichment			, , ,
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine 15% or greater.	ne whether the salaries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residence	ey)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school d for the year.	id not have any new scholarship students	Ф	
		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
The procedure was not applicable as the school d for the year.	id not have any new scholarship students		
		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence		\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Prod	edures:	<u>\$</u>	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate O	verpayments:	<u>\$</u>	_

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

HOSANNA CHRISTIAN ACADEMY

Site Code:

702001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 7 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

A total of 1 non-scholarship student observation was noted of \$151. Student's contract was signed before the 2015-2016 tuition and fees rate was finalized for additional increases. The school did not amend the contract to recoup the additional funds.

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

\$ 1.87

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 61% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

HOSANNA CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	Site Code:	Questione (Overpa	
B.2) Enrichment		` •	,
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salarie 15% or greater.	es have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.			
		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new s for the year.	scholarship students		
T.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new s for the year.	scholarship students		
•		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive speci through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	al education tuition		
		\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	1.87
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	1.87

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

JEHOVAH-JIREH CHRISTIAN ACADEMY

Site Code:

722001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 6 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 44% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

JEHOVAH-JIREH CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	Site Code:	722001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpuj ments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries or greater.	aries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any ne for the year.	ew scholarship students	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any ne for the year.	ew scholarship students	\$ -
XZ Constal Tables at the Total Cons		Ψ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

\$

KIDZ VIEW LEARNING ACADEMY	Site Code:	519001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(over payments)
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have as for the year.	ny non-scholarship students	
•		\$ -
II. Use of Funds		
A) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selections:		
The school provided an allocation of its general operating expen	ditures to the Program.	
A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in acco	ordance with Schedule A.	
Results:	,	
No exceptions noted.		Ф
B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		\$ -
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the s January 31, 2016.	school with a cut-off date of	
Results:		
The school expended 42% of their budget according to the sch budget category was included on the schedule.	nedule provided. No reserve	
Exceptions noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016 50% of the budgeted amount.	6, were less than	đ.
B.2) Enrichment		\$ -
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether th 15% or greater.	ne salaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		

KIDZ VIEW LEARNING ACADEMY	Site Code:	51 Questioned (Overpayr	
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Очеграуі	nents)
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced Schedule A.	lures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proced Schedule A.	lures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$. =
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	_

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

LIFE OF CHRIST CHRISTIAN ACADEMY

Site Code:

927001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 35% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exceptions noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

LIFE OF CHRIST CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	Site Code:	927001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salariants 15% or greater.	es have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	\$ -
IN/ Income Wileshiller		Ψ
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	
·		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ial education tuition	\$ <u> </u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

LIGHT CITY CHRISTIAN ACADEMY

Site Code:

989001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 55% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

LIGHT CITY CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	Site Code:	989 Questioned Co (Overpayme	osts
B.2) Enrichment		(o , or part)	
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have 15% or greater.	ve increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in ac Schedule A.	ccordance with	·	
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in ac Schedule A.	ccordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special ed through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	lucation tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

LOUISIANA NEW SCHOOL ACADEMY

Site Code:

898001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

64.21

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 26% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

\$

LOUISIANA NEW SCHOOL ACADEMY	Site Code:	898001
		Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		, ,
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sala 15% or greater.	rics have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have ar students for the year.	ny new scholarship	•
		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have an	ny new scholarship	
students for the year.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spethrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$ 64.21</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$ 64.21

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

MATER DOLOROSA SCHOOL

Site Code:

502007 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 45% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

MATER DOLOROSA SCHOOL Site C	Qu	502007 testioned Costs Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment	· ·	y (ci puy menes)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increase 15% or greater.	ed by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance Schedule A.	with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance Schedule A.	with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		
	\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education to through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	uition <u>\$</u>	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:	<u>\$</u>	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	\$	

MCMILLIAN'S FIRST STEPS CCDC	Site Code:	Question (Overp	621001 ed Costs ayments)
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any non-scho for the year.	larship students		
		\$	-
II. Use of Funds			
A) Verification of Educational Purpose			
Scope and Selections:			
The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the	e Program.		
A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with	Schedule A.		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		A	
B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		\$	-
Scope and Selection:			
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a January 31, 2016.	a cut-off date of		
Results:			
The school expended 52% of their budget according to the schedule provide budget category was included on the schedule.	ded. No reserve		
No exceptions noted.		d)	
B.2) Enrichment		\$	-
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries ha 15% or greater.	we increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		•	

MCMILLIAN'S FIRST STEPS CCDC	Site Code:	621001 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

MOST BLESSED SACRAMENT SCHOOL

Site Code:

502008 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 50% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

MOST BLESSED SACRAMENT SCHOOL	Site Code:	50 Questioned (Overpayr	
B.2) Enrichment			
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sala 15% or greater.	ries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:	*		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have as students for the year.	ny new scholarship	\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility		Ψ	
Scope and Selection:			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have an	ny new scholarship		
students for the year.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spetthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition	\$.	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	<u> </u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>	

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

NEW ORLEANS ADVENTIST ACADEMY

Site Code:

897001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 2 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 96% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

NEW ORLEANS ADVENTIST ACADEMY	Site Code:	Questioned Questioned (Overpay	
B.2) Enrichment			
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries 15% or greater.	s have increased by		
Results:			
Exception noted. Salaries for at least one key employee increased by	15% or		
more.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new s for the year.	scholarship students		
		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new s for the year.	scholarship students		
		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	al education tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	-

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

NORTHLAKE CHRISTIAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Site Code:

735002 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were note for educational purpose. Exception noted.

19.36

B.1) Budget -- Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 63% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

NORTHLAKE CHRISTIAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Site Code:	_	735002 ned Costs payments)
B.2) Enrichment		(0,01)	;
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salarie 15% or greater.	es have increased by		
Results:			
Exception noted. The school did not provide the salaries for the individual personnel, however, we obtained an aggregate total of all key personnel for the current and prior year. The aggregate for the current year included additional position, therefore aggregate key employee salaries increase be	l salaries luded an	\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		Φ	
V. Special Education Tuition		\$	-
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive speci through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	al education tuition	\$.
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	19.36
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	19.36

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

NORTHLAKE CHRISTIAN HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

735001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 63% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

NORTHLAKE CHRISTIAN HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	735001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries or greater.	salaries have increased by	
Results:		
Exception noted. The school did not provide the salaries for the personnel, however, we obtained an aggregate total of all key personnel to current and prior year. The aggregate for the current year additional position, therefore aggregate key employee salaries increase.	sonnel salaries ar included an	
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		-
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for processchedule A.	dures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for processchedule A.	dures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		•
V. Special Education Tuition		\$ -
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$ -

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

OUR LADY OF MERCY SCHOOL

Site Code:

502009

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 57% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

OUR LADY OF MERCY SCHOOL	Site Code:	502009 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(a · · · · F-1) =====)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the 15% or greater.	ne salaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have a for the year.	ny new scholarship students	
		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have a for the year.	ny new scholarship students	
	•	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receithrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ve special education tuition	\$ -
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments	:	\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

REDEMPTORIST DIOCESAN REGIONAL ELEMENTARY

Site Code:

502021 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 60% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

REDEMPTORIST DIOCESAN REGIONAL ELEMENTARY	Site Code:	502021 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have 15% or greater.	increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new students for the year.	cholarship	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new students for the year.	cholarship	
		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 special education students was selected for procedures in according Schedule A.	ordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		<u> </u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

RESURRECTION OF OUR LORD SCHOOL

Site Code:

506048 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

RESURRECTION OF OUR LORD SCHOOL	Site Code:	506048 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salari 15% or greater.	ies have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	\$ -
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

RIVERDALE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY

Site Code:

988001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 63% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

2

RIVERDALE CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	Site Code:	988001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries 15% or greater.	es have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		•
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spec through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ial education tuition	\$ <u>-</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

RIVERSIDE ACADEMY

Site Code:

652001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 7 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 47% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

RIVERSIDE ACADEMY Site C	Code: 65200 Questioned Cos (Overpayment	ts
B.2) Enrichment	(Overpa) men	٠,
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increase 15% or greater.	sed by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance Schedule A.	e with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance Schedule A.	e with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.	\$	
V. Special Education Tuition	Ψ	-
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education to through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	tuition \$	<u>-</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:	\$	=
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	\$	<u>-</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. ALPHONSUS - BATON ROUGE

Site Code:

502016 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 58% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. ALPHONSUS – BATON ROUGE	Site Code:	502016 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the 15% or greater.	e salaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have an for the year.	y new scholarship students	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have an for the year.	y new scholarship students	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receiv through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	re special education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified 'Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. ALPHONSUS SCHOOL - NEW ORLEANS

Site Code:

506055 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule Λ .

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

207.63

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

ST. ALPHONSUS SCHOOL NEW ORLEANS	Site Code:	-	506055 ned Costs nayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(,,
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries has 15% or greater.	nave increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
M. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	207.63
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>	207.63

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. ANDREW THE APOSTLE SCHOOL

Site Code:

506056 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 46% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. ANDREW THE APOSTLE SCHOOL	Site Code:	50 Questioned (Overpay)	
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpay)	пенезу
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the \$15% or greater.	salaries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		Ф	
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		\$	-
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any for the year.	new scholarship students		
		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any for the year.	new scholarship students	\$	_
V. Special Education Tuition		,	
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition	\$	_
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	**

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. AUGUSTINE HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

506061 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fces for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 49% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. AUGUSTINE HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	50606 Questioned Cos (Overpaymen	sts
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpaymen	usy
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries or greater.	alaries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any for the year.	new scholarship students	\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any for the year.	new scholarship students	\$	_
V. Special Education Tuition		Ψ	
•			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education fulfion	\$	-
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	=
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>	-

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. AUGUSTINE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

506129 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 49% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. AUGUSTINE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	506129 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salarie 15% or greater.	es have increased by	
Results:	•	
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specithrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ial education tuition	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$. </u>

\$

ST. BENEDICT THE MOOR SCHOOL	Site Code:	506159 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(Over payments)
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any for the year.	y non-scholarship students	
II. Use of Funds		\$ -
A) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selections:		
The school provided an allocation of its general operating expend	ditures to the Program.	
A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in according	ordance with Schedule A.	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		
Scope and Selection:		
A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the surface January 31, 2016.	school with a cut-off date of	
Results:		
The school expended 41% of their budget according to the school budget category was included on the schedule.	edule provided. No reserve	
Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, v budgeted amount.	were less than 50% of the	
B.2) Enrichment		\$ -
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the 15% or greater.	e salaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		

ST. BENEDICT THE MOOR SCHOOL	Site Code:	506159 Questioned Costs
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition	\$ <u>-</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. CHARLES CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

506066 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

 Λ sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

5.37

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 56% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for any unusual or unexpected expenses.

No exceptions noted.

ST. CHARLES CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	Question	506066 ed Costs ayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over pa	ayments)
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries 15% or greater.	have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 1 new scholarship student was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 1 new scholarship student was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	n accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	5.37
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	5. <u>37</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. DOMINIC SCHOOL

Site Code:

506071 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exception noted.

20.00

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 49% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

\$

ST. DOMINIC SCHOOL	Site Code:	Questione (Overpa	
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpa	ymemis)
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salar 15% or greater.	ries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	v scholarship students	\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	v scholarship students	Ф	
		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spe through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	\$	<u>-</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	20.00
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	20.00

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. ELIZABETH SCHOOL

Site Code:

502018 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 63% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. ELIZABETH SCHOOL	Site Code:	502018 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salar 15% or greater.	ies have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	s in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	es in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		. <u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. FRANCIS XAVIER SCHOOL

Site Code:

502019 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 47% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. FRANCIS XAVIER SCHOOL Site Code:	502019 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment	(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:	
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased by 15% or greater.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)	
Scope and Selection:	
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility	
Scope and Selection:	
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule Λ .	
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition	
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:	<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. JEAN VIANNEY SCHOOL

Site Code:

502040 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 84% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for any unusual or unexpected expenses.

No exceptions noted.

ST. JEAN VIANNEY SCHOOL	Site Code:	502040 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries or greater.	aries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedur Schedule A.	res in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	res in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive sp through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u> _
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. JOAN OF ARC SCHOOL - LAPLACE

Site Code:

506080 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

10.27

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 50% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

ST. JOAN OF ARC SCHOOL - LAPLACE	Site Code:	Question (Overpa	
B.2) Enrichment		(О / ОГР	у шенез)
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries had 15% or greater.	ave increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special ethrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	education tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	10.27
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	10.27

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. JOAN OF ARC SCHOOL - NEW ORLEANS

Site Code:

506079 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 56% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. JOAN OF ARC SCHOOL – NEW ORLEANS	Site Code:	506079 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have 15% or greater.	re increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accesshedule A.	cordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in ac Schedule A.	cordance with	
Results:		-
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special eduthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ucation tuition	\$ <u> </u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. JOHN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Site Code:

502023 uestioned Costs

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 42% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. JOHN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	Site Code:	502023 Questioned Cost (Overpayments	s
B.2) Enrichment		(Sverpaj mena	,
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salari 15% or greater.	ies have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures Schedule A.	s in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	\$ -	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>	

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. JOHN HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

502024 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 42% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. JOHN HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	502024 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries or greater.	laries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ares in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ires in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specifically the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u> </u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. JOHN LUTHERAN SCHOOL

Site Code:

626001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 49% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. JOHN LUTHERAN SCHOOL	Site Code:	62 Questioned ((Overpayn	
B.2) Enrichment		(0.0.1-1)	
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have 15% or greater.	increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in according Schedule A.	ordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$, -
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in according Schedule A.	ordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special educ through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	eation tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	=====
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	<u>-</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. JOHN PRIMARY SCHOOL

Site Code:

502046 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

Exception noted. 1 out of 5 non-scholarship students was charged \$100 rather than the full \$175 in book fees.

\$

Π. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 52% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

ST. JOHN PRIMARY SCHOOL	Site Code:	502046 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(overpuly menus)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries had 15% or greater.	ive increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a Schedule A.	accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a Schedule A:	accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special e through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ducation tuition	<u>\$</u> _
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

\$

ST. JOSEPH SCHOOL	Site Code:	502025 Questioned Costs
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for education excellence program or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 year.		
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		\$ -
II. Use of Funds		
A) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selections:		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educational exc program or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	cellence	
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		•
B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		\$ -
Scope and Selection:		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educational exc program or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	cellence	
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		\$ -
B.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educational exc program or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	cellence	
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		

ST. JOSEPH SCHOOL	Site Code:	502025 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		` .
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educ or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	cational excellence program	\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educ or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	cational excellence program	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educ or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	cational excellence program	\$ <u> </u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. KATHARINE DREXEL SCHOOL

Site Code:

506122 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A,

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2015.

Results:

The school expended 52% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for salaries for the summer enrichment program.

No exceptions noted.

ST. KATHARINE DREXEL SCHOOL	Site Code:	506122 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the 15% or greater.	salaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce Schedule A.	edures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for proce Schedule A.	edures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u> </u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. LEO THE GREAT SCHOOL

Site Code:

506087 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 54% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. LEO THE GREAT SCHOOL	Site Code:	506087 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salar 15% or greater.	ies have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for procedure: Schedule A.	s in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		·\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 6 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	es in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. LOUIS KING OF FRANCE SCHOOL

Site Code:

502031 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 45% of their budget according to the schedule provided. Reserve category is used for any unusual or unexpected expenses

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

\$

\$

ST. LOUIS KING OF FRANCE SCHOOL	Site Code:	50: Questioned ((Overpaym	
B.2) Enrichment		(an I an J an	,
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the s 15% or greater.	salaries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		d r	
		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 10 new scholarship students was selected for proceed Schedule A.	dures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 10 new scholarship students was selected for proce Schedule A.	dures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	_
V. Special Education Tuition			
A sample of 1 special education students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted,		\$	<u> </u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>	<u> </u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. MARY'S ACADEMY

Site Code:

506095 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 53% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. MARY'S ACADEMY	Site Code:	506095 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sa 15% or greater.	llaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 7 new scholarship students was selected for procedu Schedule A.	ures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	special education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$ -

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. MARGARET MARY'S SCHOOL

Site Code:

506091 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 50% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST.	MARGARET MARY'S SCHOOL	Site Code:	506091
			Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
	B.2) Enrichment		
	Scope and Selection:		
	We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries 15% or greater.	have increased by	
	Results:		
	No exceptions noted.		\$ -
Ш.	Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
	Scope and Selection:		
	A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	n accordance with	
	Results:		
	No exceptions noted.		ф
			\$ -
IV.	Income Eligibility	•	
	Scope and Selection:		
	A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	n accordance with	
	Results:		
	No exceptions noted.		
V. S	Special Education Tuition		\$ -
	The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specia through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	l education tuition	<u>\$</u>
	Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
	Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. MICHAEL THE ARCHANGEL DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

502036 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

Exception noted. Two students were not charged uniform sweater fees of \$25 because they still had a uniform sweater from the prior year.

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

ST. MICHAEL THE ARCHANGEL DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL Site Code	e: 502036 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment	(overpuly memo)
Scope and Selection:	
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have increased to 15% or greater.	ру
Results:	
No exceptions noted.	\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)	
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new scholarship studen for the year.	s -
IV. Income Eligibility	·
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new scholarship studen for the year.	
	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition	
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special education tuition through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	on <u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:	<u>\$ -</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. PAUL LUTHERAN SCHOOL

Site Code:

627001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

Exception noted. One employee's salary in our sample was paid for performing afterschool daycare services.

211.76

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

ST.	PAUL LUTHERAN SCHOOL	Site Code:	Question	627001 ed Costs ayments)
	B.2) Enrichment		(Очегра	аушенезу
	Scope and Selection:			
	We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries had 15% or greater.	nve increased by		
	Results:			
	No exceptions noted.		\$ `	-
m.	Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
	Scope and Selection:			
	A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a Schedule A.	accordance with		
	Results:			
	No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV.	Income Eligibility			
	Scope and Selection:			
	A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in a Schedule A.	accordance with		
	Results:			
	No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V.	Special Education Tuition			
	The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special e through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ducation tuition	\$	
	Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>	211.76
	Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	211.76

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. PETER CHANEL INTERPAROCHIAL SCHOOL

Site Code:

502004 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 30% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. PETER CHANEL INTERPAROCHIAL SCHOOL	Site Code:	502004 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment	•	(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sala 15% or greater.	ries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	es in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 3 new scholarship students was selected for procedure Schedule A.	es in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spetthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ecial education tuition	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$ <u>-</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. PETER CLAVER SCHOOL

Site Code:

506105 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 77% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. PETER CLAVER SCHOOL	Site Code:	506105 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the sa 15% or greater.	laries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any not for the year.	ew scholarship students	th.
		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any n for the year.	ew scholarship students	
		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive s through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	pecial education tuition	\$ -
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>s -</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. PETER SCHOOL - RESERVE

Site Code:

506104 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule Λ .

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 54% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. PETER SCHOOL - RESERVE	Site Code:	506104 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have in 15% or greater.	ncreased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accornschedule A.	rdance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 5 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in according Schedule A.	rdance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special educathrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ation tuition	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	•	<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. RITA SCHOOL

Site Code:

506111 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 54% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. RITA SCHOOL	Site Code:	50 Questioned (Overpayn	
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpaya	шы
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether 15% or greater.	er the salaries have increased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 1 new scholarship students was selected for Schedule A.	procedures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 1 new scholarship students was selected for Schedule A.	procedures in accordance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not re through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program		<u>\$</u>	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayme	ents:	\$	

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. STEPHEN SCHOOL

Site Code:

506116 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 56% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

\$

ST. STEPHEN SCHOOL	Site Code:	506116 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salar 15% or greater.	ries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	¢.
		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive spethrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	cial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. THOMAS AQUINAS DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL

Site Code:

502039 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 47% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

ST. THOMAS AQUINAS DIOCESAN REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	Site Code:	502039 Questioned Costs
B.2) Enrichment		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries 15% or greater.	have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	n accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		φ.
IV. Income Eligibility		\$ -
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 4 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in Schedule A.	n accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	l education tuition	<u>\$</u>
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		s -

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

ST. THOMAS MORE SCHOOL

Site Code:

502030 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 51% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

ST. THOMAS MORE SCHOOL	Site Code:	502030 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(Over payments)
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether th 15% or greater.	e salaries have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 1 new scholarship students was selected for pro Schedule A.	cedures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
Scope and Selection:		
A sample of 1 new scholarship students was selected for pro Schedule A.	cedures in accordance with	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not recei through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ve special education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:	;	<u>\$</u>

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

THE DUNHAM SCHOOL

Site Code:

692003 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule Λ .

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 39% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

Exception noted because expenditures, as of January 31, 2016, were less than 50% of the budgeted amount.

THE DUNHAM SCHOOL S	Site Code:	Questioned (Overpay	
B.2) Enrichment		(O, or pa)	mones
Scope and Selection:			
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salaries have in 15% or greater.	creased by		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accord Schedule A.	dance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
IV. Income Eligibility			
Scope and Selection:			
A sample of 2 new scholarship students was selected for procedures in accord Schedule A.	dance with		
Results:			
No exceptions noted.		\$	-
V. Special Education Tuition			
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive special educat through the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	tion tuition	\$	
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$	
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$	

STATE OF LOUISIANA, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SCHOLARSHIPS FOR EDUCATIONAL EXCELLENCE PROGRAM AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

TRINITY CHRISTIAN ACADEMY

Site Code:

990001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students

Scope and Selection

A sample of 5 non-scholarship students was selected for procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Exceptions, if any, included differences where tuition and fees charged to and paid by non-scholarship students were less than amounts charged to scholarship students. While we report these as exceptions, no questioned cost is reported within this schedule. The Department may wish to further consider impacts to the program as a result of these exceptions.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

\$

II. Use of Funds

A) Verification of Educational Purpose

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

B.1) Budget - Actual Expenditures

Scope and Selection:

A budget to actual expenditures schedule was provided by the school with a cut-off date of January 31, 2016.

Results:

The school expended 65% of their budget according to the schedule provided. No reserve budget category was included on the schedule.

No exceptions noted.

TRINITY CHRISTIAN ACADEMY	Site Code:	990001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
B.2) Enrichment		(0 · 0 · p · j · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1
Scope and Selection:		
We reviewed salaries for key personnel to determine whether the salarie 15% or greater.	es have increased by	
Results:		
No exceptions noted.		\$ -
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	
		\$ -
IV. Income Eligibility		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not have any new for the year.	scholarship students	
		\$ -
V. Special Education Tuition		
The procedure was not applicable as the school did not receive specthrough the Scholarship for Educational Excellence Program.	ial education tuition	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		<u>\$</u>
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		<u>\$</u>

\$

WALDORF SCHOOL OF NEW ORLEANS	Site Code:	5A7001 Questioned Costs
I. Tuition and Fees for Scholarship Students		(Overpayments)
Scope and Selection		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educational e or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	excellence program	
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		\$ -
II. Use of Funds		
A) Verification of Educational Purpose		
Scope and Selections:		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educational exprogram or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	xcellence	
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		ď
B.1) Budget – Actual Expenditures		\$ -
Scope and Selection:		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educational e or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	excellence program	
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		\$ -
B.2) Enrichment		
Scope and Selection:		
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for educational e or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	excellence program	
Results:		
Procedures not applicable.		

WALDORF SCHOOL OF NEW ORLEANS	Site Code:	5A7001 Questioned Costs (Overpayments)
III. Payment Verification (Attendance and Residency)		`
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for education or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	ional excellence program	
IV. Income Eligibility		\$ -
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for education or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	ional excellence program	
V. Special Education Tuition		\$ -
The school did not have any students in the scholarship for education or receive any scholarship funds in the 2015-2016 school year.	ional excellence program	\$
Total Overpayment Identified Through These Procedures:		\$
Maximum Overpayment Adjusted for Duplicate Overpayments:		\$ -

Department of Education Office of Management and Finance

Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program
Agreed-Upon Procedures Report
For the Year Ended June 30, 2016



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Division of Education Finance State of Louisiana, Department of Education

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Louisiana Department of Education (LDE), solely to assist you in monitoring the Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program as it relates to expenditures charged to the program by Bishop McManus Academy as identified by the Department for the period July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. LDE is responsible for establishing procedures and enforcing laws and regulations as specifically required by *Title 28, Education, Part CLIII, Bulletin 133-Scholarship Programs* (BESE Policy). LDE is also responsible for providing guidance for compliance with these laws and regulations to the participating schools. This engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

The scope and sufficiency of our procedures is solely the responsibility of LDE. Our procedures were limited to those that you have determined will best meet your informational and regulatory needs and may not necessarily disclose all significant errors, frauds, noncompliance and other illegal acts that may exist. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

Objective:

The primary purpose of this engagement was to assist the LDE in determining whether the specific schools identified by the LDE are operating in accordance with various Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program (Program) guidelines. The schools included in the scope of the report are as follows:

Site Code	School Name
872001	Bishop McManus School

Use of Funds (Ch. 13, Sec. 1303-Financial Practice)

A. Verify that expenditures are for educational purposes.

Specific Procedures to Perform Include:

- » PSHA obtained the system-generated schedule of expenditures through January 31, 2016 for scholarship funds (report generated from accounting system, check register, etc.)
- » From detailed information provided by the school, PSHA selected the first payroll transaction for the month of September, 2015, for ToniLynn Tyson, Principal; Keith Barnes, Executive Director; and Shawanda McGill, Assistant Teacher; plus two additional randomly selected employees not previously selected for testing and all individual non-payroll transactions equal to or greater than \$2,500, observed supporting documentation that ties back to the amounts identified as scholarship expenditures and identified those expenditures that were not for educational purposes. PSHA made no attempts to reconcile noted differences between the expenditure transactions identified per above and those reported on the budget to actual reports submitted to the LDE. Although mathematical recalculations were performed, PSHA made no attempts to determine the appropriateness of the allocation of costs to the Program.
- » Supporting documentation evidencing educational purpose included at least one of the following: employee job description, payroll register noting employee title, school web site directory, a school's teacher roster and teacher's class schedule, invoice from vendor identifying goods or services purchased, lease or use agreements for facilities, and loan payment vouchers or promissory note for debt service and other documentation as determined appropriate by LDE staff. The lease agreements were often between related parties. PSHA made no attempts to determine whether the terms of leases were at arms-length.
- » Educational purposes were defined as: Instructional Programs, Pupil Support Programs, Instructional Staff Programs, School Administration, General Administration, Business Services, Operations and Maintenance of Plant Services, Transportation, Food Services Operations, Enterprise Operations, Community Services Operations, Facility Acquisition and Construction Services, and Debt Services. If for debt service, PSHA made no attempts to verify the use of the initial proceeds of the debt.
- » Any expenditure selected that lacked supporting documentation and/or evidence of educational purpose was reported as an exception in Schedule B of the report. Program costs associated with each exception were questioned. Such questioned costs were identified only for the period tested and for the items tested. No projections of other questioned costs that might exist were made for the entire school year or to the entire SSEEP costs population.

Questioned Costs (Overpayments)

Use of Funds

Verification of Educational Purpose-Payroll

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A listed above.

Results:

No exceptions noted.

\$

Verification of Educational Purpose- Non-Payroll

Scope and Selections:

The school provided an allocation of its general operating expenditures to the Program.

A sample of transactions was selected for the procedures in accordance with Schedule A listed above.

Results:

From a sample of expenditures tested, we noted transactions that either lacked supporting documentation or were not for educational purpose. Exceptions noted.

\$ 16,110.94

We are not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion, on compliance with the "Student Scholarships for Educational Excellence Program". Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the State of Louisiana, Department of Education Division of Education Finance, and the Louisiana Legislature, and is not intended to be and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

PROVOST, SALTER, HARPER & ALFORD, LLC

Onros, Sulter, Haysu + Cay I, LLC July 6, 2016

Baton Rouge, Louisiana